Mohsen Lesani

# Broadcast



#### **Broadcast abstractions**



# Modules of a process



# Intuition

- Broadcast is useful for instance in applications where some processes subscribe to events published by other processes (e.g., stocks)
- The subscribers might require some reliability guarantees from the broadcast service (we say sometimes quality of service – QoS) that the underlying network does not provide.

- We shall consider three forms of reliability for a broadcast primitive
  - **Best-effort broadcast**
  - (Regular) reliable broadcast
  - **Uniform (reliable) broadcast**
- We shall give first specifications and then algorithms

#### Best-effort Broadcast (BEB)

#### • Events

- Request: <broadcast (m)>
- Indication: <deliver (src, m)>

also called bebBroadcast and bebDeliver.

• Properties: BEB1, BEB2, BEB3

Properties:

# • BEB1. Validity:

If pi and pj are correct, then every message broadcast by pi is eventually delivered by pj.

# • BEB2. No duplication:

No message is delivered more than once.

# • BEB3. No creation:

No message is delivered unless it was broadcast.

















# Reliable Broadcast (RB)

#### • Events

- Request: <broadcast (m)>
- Indication: <deliver (src, m)>

also called rbBroadcast and rbDeliver.

• Properties: RB1, RB2, RB3, RB4

# Properties

- RB1 = BEB1.
- RB2 = BEB2.
- RB3 = BEB3.
- RB4. Agreement: For any message m, if a correct process delivers m, then every correct process delivers m.







The process p2 did not deliver; p3 does not need to deliver.



The process p2 delivered but is not correct; the process p3 does not need to deliver.

# Uniform (Reliable) Broadcast (URB)

# • Events

- Request: <broadcast (m)>
- Indication: <deliver (src, m)>

also called urbBroadcast and urbBroadcast.

• Properties: URB1, URB2, URB3, URB4

# Uniform (Reliable) Broadcast (URB)

- Properties
  - URB1 = BEB1.
  - URB2 = BEB2.
  - URB3 = BEB3.
  - URB4. Uniform Agreement: If a process delivers a message m, then every correct process delivers m.

The delivering process does not need to be correct.

# Uniform (Reliable) Broadcast



The process p2 has delivered but is not correct. Nonetheless, urb requires correct processes to deliver.

# Uniform (Reliable) Broadcast



No process including the process p2 has delivered. Other processes do not need to deliver.

- Three forms of reliability for a broadcast primitive
   Best-effort broadcast
   (Regular) reliable broadcast
   Uniform (reliable) broadcast
- We saw the specifications. Now, protocols.

**Implements**: BestEffortBroadcast (beb). **Uses**: PerfectLinks (pp2p).

upon event < broadcast (m) > do forall pi in ∏ do trigger < pp2p, send (pi, m) >

upon event < pp2p, deliver(pi, m) > do
trigger < deliver (pi, m) >



- Proof (sketch)
  - **BEB1. Validity:** By (1) the broadcast handler pp2p sends the message to all (2) the validity property of perfect links and (3) the pp2p deliver handler of every correct process delivers the message.
  - **BEB2. No duplication:** By contradiction: A message is delivered only when it is pp2p delivered. The no duplication (and no creation) property of perfect links. The assumption that each message is broadcast once.
  - BEB3. No creation: Similar to BEB2.



Crash in the middle of the loop.

How do we deliver the message even when the sender crashes?

#### Idea:

If the sender crashes and there is a correct process that has received the message, then that process itself should help out.

• Each process pi remembers the messages that each other process pj has sent. If pi finds that pj has crashed, pi rebroadcasts the messages that Pj has previously sent.

• Reliable agreement is achieved: If there is a correct process that has delivered a message, this process itself rebroadcasts and ensures delivery to others.

# Implements: ReliableBroadcast (rb). Uses: BestEffortBroadcast (beb).

PerfectFailureDetector (P).

#### upon event < Init > do

delivered :=  $\emptyset$ forall pi in  $\Pi$  do from[pi] :=  $\emptyset$ correct :=  $\Pi$ 

delivered: To prevent duplicate delivery.
from[pi]: To remember the set of messages received from pi.
correct: To resend messages received from an incorrect process that arrive late.

```
upon event < broadcast (m) > do
  delivered := delivered U {m}
  trigger < deliver (self, m) >
  trigger < beb, broadcast ([self, m]) >
```

The process first delivers to itself. Delivery to self is not left to beb broadcast so that the process does not save its own messages. upon event < P, crash (pi) > do
 correct := correct \ {pi}
 forall [pj, m] in from[pi] do
 trigger <beb, broadcast([pj, m])>

upon event <beb, deliver(pi, [pj, m])> do
if m ∉ delivered then
 delivered := delivered U {m}
 trigger <deliver (pj, m)>
 if pi ∉ correct then
 trigger <beb, broadcast([pj, m])>
 else
 from[nil := from[nil [] [[[[ni] m]]])

from[pi] := from[pi] U {[pj, m]}

pi is the sender.pj is the sender of m.If i is not equal to j, the process pjhas crashed and pi is trying tohelp him.

The then branch: pi has crashed after sending and before this delivery

The else branch: pi in correct. We add m to from[pi] so that if a crash indication of pi comes, we rebroadcast it. If m is added to from[pj], then we might have already received crash indication of pj, and m is never rebroadcast.

- The previous algorithm uses perfect failure detector that is only possible in the synchronous model.
- What about when there is no synchrony?
- Instead of waiting for a crash indication, each process can eagerly beb broadcast every message that it receives.





Proof (sketch):

- RB1. RB2. RB3: Similar to the beb algorithm
- RB4. Agreement:
  - Assume some correct process pi rb delivers a message m rb broadcast by some process pk.
  - If pk is correct, then by property BEB1, all correct processes beb deliver and then rb deliver m.
  - If pk crashes, then by the completeness property of P, pi detects the crash, and beb broadcasts m to all.
     It rebroadcasts no matter it gets the crash indication of pk after or before the the delivery of m.
    - Since pi is correct, then by property BEB1, all correct processes beb deliver and then rb deliver m.

How do we deliver the message even if a crashed process delivers it?

# Uniform (Reliable) Broadcast



The process p2 has delivered but is not correct. Nonetheless, urb requires correct processes to deliver.



Idea:

- A process may crash right after delivering the message and before sending it to others. Therefore, before delivering the message locally, the process has to make sure every correct process will eventually deliver it.
- Before delivering locally, a process has to make sure that at least one correct process has the message.
- Every process rebroadcasts a message that it receives.
- A process p delivers the message only if it receives it from every process except those that the failure detector has reported crashed.
- If there is a process p' that remains correct, and is expected to deliver the message, then the process p' itself is a correct process that p got the rebroadcast message from. So a correct process has the message. That single correct process can send it to all other correct processes. Then, the correct processes send and receive the message from each other. They can make each other eventually deliver the message.

URB



#### **Implements**: UniformBroadcast (urb).

Uses:

```
BestEffortBroadcast (beb).
PerfectFailureDetector (P).
```

#### upon event < Init > do

```
correct := S
delivered := pending := \emptyset
ack[Message] := \emptyset
```

```
upon event < broadcast (m) > do
    pending := pending U {[self,m]}
    trigger < beb, broadcast([self,m]) >
```

pending is a set of <src, m> pairs that represents the already forwarded and pending messages. It is used to forward messages only once.

ack is a map from each message to set of processes that ack for that message is received from

We note that in contrast to the previous algorithm, the process does not deliver the message to itself here.

```
upon event <beb, deliver (pi, [pj,m])> do
    ack[m] := ack[m] U {pi}
    if [pj,m] ∉ pending then
        pending := pending U {[pj,m]}
        trigger < beb, broadcast ([pj,m]) >
    else
        tryDelivery()
```

def tryDelivery()
 foreach ([pj,m] in pending)
 if (correct ⊆ ack[m] and m ∉ delivered)
 delivered := delivered U {m}
 trigger <deliver (pj, m)>

upon event < crash, pi > do
 correct := correct \ {pi}
 tryDelivery()

The correct state is always a superset of or equal to the set of correct processes.

The process pj is the original sender.

When pi is not pj, m is indirectly received through pi. The process pj might have crashed.









#### Correctness

Proof (sketch)

A simple lemma: If a correct process p beb broadcasts a message m, then every correct process p' eventually urb delivers m.

- By the validity property of BEB, every correct process will eventually beb deliver m from p, and then broadcasts it if it has not already.
- Thus, every correct process p' will eventually bebDeliver m from every correct process.
- From the completeness property of PFD, the correct set is eventually a subset of correct processes.
- Therefore, eventually, every correct process beb delivers m from every process in its correct set, and hence it urb delivers m.

#### Correctness

Proof (sketch)

- URB1. Validity:
  - If a correct process pi urb broadcasts a message m, then pi beb broadcasts it. Thus, by our lemma, every correct process pj urb delivers m.
- URB2. URB3: follow from BEB2 and BEB3 and the delivered set.
- URB4. Agreement:
  - Assume some process pi urb delivers a message m. By the algorithm, pi has beb delivered from its correct set.
  - By the accuracy of PFD, the set of correct processes is a subset of its correct set.
  - Therefore, pi has beb delivered m from a correct process. By the no creation property of BEB, the correct process has beb broadcast m. By our lemma, every correct process urb delivers m.

- The previous algorithm uses perfect failure detector that is only possible in the synchronous model.
- What about when there is no synchrony?
- We assume that at lease a majority of the processes (a quorum) are correct.
- Instead of tracking correct processes and delivering a message when the ack is received from all of them, a process delivers a message when it receives an ack from a majority of processes.
- There will be at least one correct process in that majority. That correct process broadcasts the message. Thus, all correct processes receive and also broadcast the message. Correct processes are a majority. Thus, each correct process receives the message from a majority, and is convinced to deliver the message.

#### References

Parts adopted from R. Guerraoui